

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/722,628	11/28/2003	Var Lordahl	Valve	7115
42811	7590 09/13/2005		EXAMINER	
KAJANE MCMANUS			HEPPERLE, STEPHEN M	
MCMANUS A	AND ASSOCIATES Y COURT		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	K, IL 60098		3753	

DATE MAILED: 09/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		\mathcal{S}	\mathcal{P}
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	1
	10/722,628	LORDAHL ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Stephen M. Hepperle	3753	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	_
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a not od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. Poply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ TI Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matt	•	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 28 November 2003 is Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corn 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	s/are: a) \square accepted or b) \boxtimes he drawing(s) be held in abeyanection is required if the drawing	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Page 2

Art Unit: 3753

Claims 2 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 2, "preferred" and in claim 11, "preferably" render the claims indefinite because it is unclear if PTFE is actually part of the claimed invention.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-2 and 8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moen (4,469,121) in view of Nambu or Takano et al. Moen shows a mixing valve cartridge with a pressure balancing valve spool 40 reciprocating inside plastic sleeve 24. Nambu shows a spool valve with a plastic spool inside a plastic housing, where the plastic is PTFE or PEEK (col. 4, lines 50-59). Takano teaches a pressure balancing spool made of PTFE because of light weight and self-lubrication ability (col. 4, lines 45-49). It would have been obvious to make the Moen sleeve and/or spool of PTFE as taught by Nambu because PTFE is well known for its superior chemical resistance and low friction. Alternatively, it would have been obvious to make the Moen plastic sleeve 24 and/or spool 40 of PTFE as taught by Takano to reduce weight and provide self lubrication.

Claims 3-7 and 12-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moen (4,469,121) in view of Nambu or Takano et al. as applied above, further in view of Powers et al. Powers shows a mixing valve with a pressure balancing spool having a spring 52 that biases the spool in a direction to restrict hot water from inlet 10, to assure no hot water gets

Application/Control Number: 10/722,628

Art Unit: 3753

through if there is no cold water (for safety). It would have been obvious to add a biasing spring to Moen to restrict hot water to prevent scalding as taught by Powers. To restrict the hot supply 16, the spring would be placed between the Moen spool 40 and stem 44. In the absence of other disclosure, it would be reasonable to assume that the Moen stem is made of metal. Alternatively, it would have been obvious to make the stem of metal to transmit force of the handle 20 to the valve, avoid stripping of the screw that fastens the handle, and because that is the normal material because of its strength.

Page 3

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Dotter shows a pressure controlling spool 19 with a spring 26 between the handle and spool. Moen (4,305,419) shows a mixing cartridge with a plastic sleeve 24. Shieh shows a pressure balancing valve in a mixing cartridge with spring bias on both ends.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen M. Hepperle whose telephone number is 571-272-4913. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gene Mancene can be reached on 571-272-4930. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/722,628 Page 4

Art Unit: 3753

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Staph physical Stephen M. Hepperle Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3753

SMH